

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,387	01/12/2001	Michael Roger Cane	14409-9006-00	14409-9006-00 2484	
23409	7590 04/02/2004		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			SMITH, RUTH S		
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3737		

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/760,387	CANE ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ruth S Smith	3737			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: The proposed changes to the claims rais					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	.,				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-34 and 64-67</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:	•	•			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,5-12,35,42-50,52,58 and 59.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		Ant Hank			
		Ruth S Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3737			

## LISTING OF THE CLAIMS:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of monitoring the presence of one or more chromophores in a sample of biological tissue, which method comprises;

illuminating an area of such tissue sample by projecting light from a light source; receiving light remitted by the illuminated area of tissue at a photo-receptor;

spectroscopically analyzing the remitted light to generate data indicative of the remitted light;

analysing the difference between incident light and the remitted light and obtaining data indicative of the analysis;

using a portion of the data generated in analyzing the remitted light to normalize a further portion of the data to define a parameter of the tissue;

normalizing the data to a standard value of that parameter using a predictive mathematical model of the optical properties of the biological tissue; and

comparing variations in the intensity and spectral characteristics of the remitted light of the normalized further portion of data with respect to the intensity and spectral characteristics of the projected light with data representing a datum sample of intensity and spectral characteristics of light remitted by a sample of known structure.

Claims 2-4 (Canceled)

do pet enter Rej